

DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

**DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety**

**A Neighborhood Based Program Review**

**UPDATED on February 2, 2024**

By Capitol Hill Village

Foreword by ANC Commissioner Chuck Elkins



15  
16

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56

### Foreward

Almost every day all over the District, residents are alerting District officials to broken sidewalks that need to be fixed. Anecdotally, we know that many people are tripping on these sidewalk hazards before the District gets around to fixing them.

These falls often result in injuries, a few of which are life threatening; others leave residents permanently disabled in some way. However, the District could prevent many of these injuries if it would simply repair these hazards more quickly.

Doctors today are urging us all to walk and jog more and climate change experts are asking that we drive less and use other modes of transportation, including walking. In fact, walking is the most common mode of transportation. Even drivers and bikers walk at least a little to get to their destination, often on District sidewalks. Yet, walking, as an essential mode of transportation, has not received the attention it deserves.

One reason for the lack of attention to this serious public health issue is that the problem has been largely invisible to District policy makers. Injuries to pedestrians are rarely reported unless they are the result of accidents involving vehicles. Otherwise, the District government apparently does not currently solicit or collect information about pedestrian injuries on our sidewalks. As a consequence, the sidewalk repair program of the District Department of Transportation has suffered from benign neglect and policies that assign it a very low priority within the Department. Sidewalks represent the only infrastructure installed by the District I know of that results in many serious injuries to people who are simply trying to use the infrastructure exactly the way the engineers designed it to be used.

To help bring this public health problem to light, Capitol Hill Village volunteers and others conducted an analysis of over 18,000 reports made via 311, resulting in this Report. While this analysis cannot tell us about the injuries, because they are not reported, it does detail the Department's very slow response to the thousands of 311 requests for repair of broken sidewalk hazards.

I believe the results of this analysis are astounding, and I hope this report will now finally enable the Council, the Mayor, the Department, and concerned residents to comprehend the magnitude of this problem and then apply the simple fixes that are recommended in this Report.

Chuck Elkins, ANC3D Commissioner

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

57

58 **Summary:** People are being injured because of the DC government's management practices for  
59 public sidewalks. The DC government currently plans to address a resident's 311 report of a  
60 sidewalk hazard within 270 business days, compared with the DC government's plan to address  
61 a report of a street pothole within 3 business days. We do not understand the rationale for a  
62 target of 270 business days to repair a sidewalk hazard – during which time people are being  
63 injured -- and we recommend the following improvements.

64

- 65 - #1 Reduce the Service Level Agreement (SLA) to complete the small repair projects to 30  
66 business days and carry out temporary repairs to mitigate any tripping hazards in the larger  
67 projects within the same 30 business days
- 68 - #2 Provide adequate funding to implement recommendation #1
- 69 - #3 Establish an annual sidewalk monitoring program
- 70 - #4 Capture data about sidewalk injuries
- 71 - #5 Make it easier for residents to get reimbursement for the cost of injuries from sidewalk  
72 falls
- 73 - #6 Study methods to reduce the number of sidewalk problems that need to be fixed and the  
74 costs to repair

75

### 76 **Many people are being injured on District sidewalks**

77

78 We know of no effort in DC to solicit or keep data regarding injuries from falling on District  
79 sidewalks. In order to begin fill this data gap, ANCs 6A, 6B, 6C and Capitol Hill Village (CHV),  
80 under the leadership of ANC6A Commissioner Shapiro conducted a survey of people in our  
81 respective service areas about injuries on public sidewalks, with the following results.

82 - **473** households responded to the survey.

83 - 305 households reported that a member of their household fell on a sidewalk in the  
84 community **over the past two years** – many people experienced multiple falls.

85 - one-third of the falls involved people who were younger than age 40.

86 - **263 injuries were reported with 114 instances needing medical care.**

87

88 Recently, on January 13, 2024 the Washington Post published an article, written by Theresa  
89 Vargas, about the human cost of sidewalk injuries (<https://www.washingtonpost.com/dc-md-va/2024/01/13/dc-sidewalk-pedestrian-safety/>). The article described several horrible injuries,  
90 to which readers added their own stories on Ms. Vargas's web site, including the following.

92 • "My right elbow was smashed to smithereens. I cannot straighten my arm completely or  
93 turn my palm completely upward."

94 • "I hurt my knee badly and ended up with a total knee replacement as a result!"

95 • "I broke an arm, and required surgery; I spent a few nights in a hospital and acquired  
96 permanent internal bling in the form of a titanium plate."

97 • "I fell unevenly with most of my weight onto the palm of one hand. My hand stopped  
98 moving, but the bones in it did not. They ripped through my fingers like a broken glove."

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

99

100 In **FY2021-2022**, DC's Office of Risk Management reports that DC paid \$1.9 million to  
101 reimburse people for personal injuries and loss of income due to falls on sidewalks. We  
102 understand that many people who are injured by sidewalk falls get no help from DC for medical  
103 expenses, lost wages or other costs, due to a decision by the DC Office of Risk Management  
104 (ORM) to reject such claims unless DC had prior knowledge of the sidewalk hazard where the  
105 injury occurred. More information about this matter is presented in Recommendation #5.

106


107 While the ANC6A,B,C+CHV survey concerned only portions of Capitol Hill, there is ample reason  
108 to expect that similar injuries are happening throughout the city.

109

110 Figure 1 shows the total number of complaints to 311.dc.gov for potholes (blue color) and  
111 sidewalks (orange color). There has been a steady **increase** in the number of **sidewalk**  
112 complaints between 2020 and 2023, and an equally steady **decrease** in the number of  
113 complaints about street **potholes**. As a result, in **2023 the number complaints about sidewalks**  
114 **was almost double the number of pothole complaints**. (Source:  
115 <https://opendata.dc.gov/explore?collection=Dataset&tags=311> )

116

**Figure 1 Number of 311.dc.gov Service Requests for Potholes and Sidewalks 2020-2023**



117


118

119 Figure 2 shows the breakdown of the number of annual complaints by Ward, with the following  
120 notable changes in 2023:

- 121 - the number of complaints in **Ward 2** now exceeds **1,500 per year**;
- 122 - the number of complaints in **Ward 6** **doubled** between 2022 and 2023.


123

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety



124  
125

**Figure 3: 311 Sidewalk service requests submitted by CHV for residents**



126

To make it easier for Ward 6 residents to submit sidewalk service requests (SSR) via 311.dc.gov, CHV created an email account (chvpedestriansafety@gmail.com) to which residents can send a picture of a sidewalk hazard with the address. As shown in Figure 3, since April 2023, CHV (with more than 400 members) has submitted more than 250 reports of sidewalk hazards for Ward 6 residents, which includes much of ANC6B, and the southern half of each of ANC6A and ANC6C. We believe more sidewalk service requests would be filed city-wide if it was easier to file a sidewalk service request via 311.dc.gov.

153  
154

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

155 There are significant consequences when the DC government does not resolve sidewalk hazards  
156 promptly.

157

158 - Public confidence in the DC government declines when concerned residents are told their  
159 complaints about sidewalk hazards will take more than a year to resolve.

160

161 - Individuals become uneasy about walking on public sidewalks. A 2022 survey of the members  
162 of CHV found that sidewalk safety was a major concern for 2/3rds of the CHV membership.  
163 More than 15% of DC residents are older than 65 years, so that when including others who have  
164 low vision or other disabilities, perhaps 20% of DC residents are fearful of the simple act of  
165 walking on a public sidewalk to visit friends or run an errand to a grocery store.

166

167 -The DC government continues to be liable for paying damages to individuals injured due to  
168 falls on District sidewalks, damages that amounted to almost \$1 million dollars a year on  
169 average during Fiscal Years 2021 - 2022.

170

### 171 **DC transportation policy contributes to injury to citizens on sidewalks**

172

173 If people are reporting sidewalk hazards at greater frequency than they report potholes, why  
174 are people still being injured on sidewalks? We believe one reason for the injuries is the DC  
175 government policy of a one-year target to resolve a sidewalk hazard. At last year's Council  
176 oversight and budget hearings, DDOT reported on their performance in meeting these 270  
177 business days and 3 business day targets, termed Service Level Agreements or SLAs.

178

179

**Figure 4: DDOT report of success in meeting SLAs**

Issue	Target: Service Level Agreement (SLA)	Percentage the SLA was met
Pothole	3	84%
Sidewalk repair	270	53%

180

181 In short, even with the much longer target, DDOT's performance in meeting the sidewalk repair  
182 target is poorer than the pothole repair target.

183

184 (The full list of DDOT SLAs, including other 311 requests, and DDOT's ability to achieve the SLAs  
185 based on DDOT's earlier 2022 testimony is in Appendix A.)

186

187 As shown in Figure 4, the SLA goal of 270 business days was achieved in barely more than half of  
188 all cases. This poor performance suggests that the SLA goal for sidewalk repair is NOT getting  
189 the attention and resources of the DC government.

190

191 Appendix B contains a DDOT confirmation of a 311 sidewalk service request. This request was  
192 submitted on December 24, **2023**. This confirmation includes the notice at the bottom that the  
193 request is expected to be completed more than a year later on January 10, **2025**. This

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

194 significant delay cannot be expected to please the person who submitted the request, or  
 195 encourage him/her to tell friends about a prompt city response to a hazardous situation.



196  
 197 Appendix C shows that the lengthy service expectation for sidewalk repairs is built into DC  
 198 automation.

199  
 200 The charts in Figure 6 show the impact of the DC policy to have a 270 business day target to  
 201 resolve a sidewalk hazard. The top chart shows, from DC's open data for 2020-2023, the delay  
 202 from the time a sidewalk hazard report was submitted to 311.dc.gov until the hazard was  
 203 resolved, while the bottom chart shows the delay between the time a request was initiated to  
 204 fix a pothole and the pothole was resolved. Please note the sidewalk chart is calculated in  
 205 hundreds of days, while the chart for potholes is calculated in days. The inset in yellow color  
 206 describes the number of sidewalk hazards that are NOT in the chart because, as of January 1,  
 207 2023, they were not yet closed / resolved.

208

**Figure 6: Comparison of DC 311 time to remedy  
 pothole and sidewalk service requests for 2020-2022**

Source: <https://opendata.dc.gov/search?collection=Dataset&sort=-created&tags=311>



209  
 210  
 211  
 212

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

213 Against the background in Figure 6 of the resolution rate in 2020-2022, Figure 7 (below) shows  
214 DDOT's ability to resolve sidewalk service requests in the first 30 days of each year. There had  
215 been some improvement from 2020 through 2022, but the resolution rate appears to have  
216 slowed in 2023.  
217

**Figure 7: Comparison of time to remedy sidewalk service requests in first 30 days of the year**

	2020	2021	2022	2023
# of new requests resolved within first 30 days	395	708	1,018	854
% of that year's total requests resolved within first 30 days	10%	15%	23%	16%

218  
219

### 220 **Recommendations to improve the DC government's ability to keep sidewalks** 221 **safe**

222

223 Based on the preceding analysis, we offer the following recommendations to bring DDOT's  
224 performance into alignment with the public health importance of sidewalk hazards.  
225

226

#### 227 **Recommendation #1: Reduce the target (SLA) to complete the small repair** 228 **projects to 30 business days and carry out temporary repairs to mitigate any** 229 **tripping hazards in the larger projects within the same 30 business days.**

230

231 Based on discussions with DDOT staff, there are no engineering / mechanical reasons why  
232 grinding a popped-up edge to a sidewalk paver, or resetting a few bricks should be delayed by a  
233 year. DDOT staff said that temporary street pothole repairs are fairly simple to implement, and  
234 that some sidewalk hazards can be more difficult to resolve. The fact that a sidewalk hazard  
235 might take some time to resolve does not mean that the initiation of the repair cannot start  
236 more quickly.

237

238 It is our understanding that DDOT divides requests for sidewalk repairs into two categories:

- 239 - Small projects, those involving fewer than 9 linear feet are resolved by DC staff and adjunct  
240 contractors in the Street and Bridge Maintenance Program;
- 241 - Larger projects, those involving more than 9 linear feet are handled by the Asset  
242 Management Program and folded into the DC Paving Plan to be addressed through  
243 contractors.

244 We recommend a new SLA goal should be established for sidewalk hazards involving fewer than  
245 9 linear feet, so these smaller sidewalk hazards can be tracked separately from more aggressive  
246 problems that require more time to diagnose and treat. In the same way as DDOT has different  
247 SLAs for each of tree inspection, planting, pruning, and removal, so DDOT can have different  
248 SLAs based on the complexity of an SSR.



## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

249 DDOT can have different SLAs based on the size of the project and the organization within DDOT  
250 charged with meeting a particular SLA. This different SLA should not add complications to  
251 resident reporting of hazards on 311. Once the size of the needed project and the severity of  
252 the hazard are determined by DDOT, the person reporting the hazard can be informed about  
253 how long DDOT projects it will take to repair the hazard.

254

255 Currently a DC government staff member inspects a reported sidewalk hazard (which usually  
256 occurs in fewer than 30 days after the hazard report is submitted.) The sidewalk hazard is  
257 assigned to either the Street and Bridge Maintenance Program (fewer than 9 feet) or the Asset  
258 Management Branch (more than 9 feet). The sidewalk hazard also is rated Excellent Good, Fair,  
259 Poor by DDOT.

260

261 Repairing tripping hazards in just a few days, at least temporarily, is apparently quite  
262 feasible. According to its website, Alexandria, Virginia has a policy of making temporary or  
263 emergency repairs to sidewalks within two business days  
264 (<https://www.alexandriava.gov/Potholes>). The potential cost to cities of not quickly fixing  
265 broken sidewalks is illustrated by Los Angeles' experience. According to a government website,  
266 in 2016 LAA settled for \$1.4 billion a class-action lawsuit initiated by disability rights advocates  
267 who alleged that the city's inaccessible sidewalks violate the Americans with Disabilities Act.  
268 (<https://controller.lacity.gov/audits/sidewalks>).

269

270 Perhaps the criteria DDOT uses to rate sidewalk condition can be improved with more reference  
271 to human factors to give evaluators a better understanding of the urgency of a sidewalk  
272 hazard. For example, the condition descriptions can be improved with reference to the  
273 challenges of people with low visibility, or the challenges of a parent pushing a stroller with 4"  
274 diameter hard-plastic wheels, or the challenges of people in wheelchairs. DDOT staff should be  
275 trained on how to correctly interpret the new conditions with human factors. The person who  
276 first reported the problem should be told whether the resolution will occur in 30 days or a  
277 longer period of time.

278

279 In any case, all tripping hazards should be repaired, if only temporarily, within 30 business days.

280

### 281 **Recommendation #2: Increase funding and staffing to resolve small sidewalk** 282 **hazards within 30 business days**

283

284 We do not yet have sufficient information to estimate how many additional resources, if any, to  
285 implement recommendation #1. Tighter targets for action by DDOT may require additional  
286 funding. Setting the new target and funding should go hand-in-hand.

287

### 288 **Recommendation #3: Create an annual sidewalk monitoring program**

289

290 During our discussions with DDOT staff, we learned there is an annual program to inspect DC  
291 roads, but not for sidewalks – and we believe sidewalk hazard conditions deserve the same level

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

292 of attention, if not greater. Except for an occasional survey of sidewalks, DDOT depends almost  
293 entirely on 311 complaints from residents. This presents both an under-reporting problem plus  
294 an equity problem involving those residents who do not have the time or resources to be the  
295 eyes and ears for the District government. As a transition to a DDOT-funded annual monitoring  
296 program for sidewalks, perhaps DDOT could partner with District of Columbia Public Schools  
297 (DCPS) to engage school children to inspect sidewalks near their homes, and on their routes to  
298 school and report needed sidewalk repairs. This would have the added advantage of providing  
299 DCPS students another opportunity to complete their 100 hours of community service before  
300 graduating.

301

### 302 **Recommendation #4: Collect data about sidewalk falls and injuries**

303

304 A common belief is that most pedestrian injuries occur at crosswalks and not on the sidewalks  
305 but we lack all the information to draw this conclusion. Pedestrian injuries at crosswalks are  
306 often reported because of the involvement of the Metropolitan Police Department. In contrast,  
307 the District apparently has no established reporting system to collect information about falls  
308 and injuries on the District's sidewalks, thereby depriving District officials of this important  
309 information. However, the Vargas article in the Washington Post, the survey by ANCs 6A, 6B,  
310 and 6C, and other sources of information demonstrate that many people are being injured on  
311 DC sidewalks EACH YEAR, about which DC legislators and administrators know little or nothing.

312

313 We do not know the best way to collect this information regarding sidewalk falls and injuries.  
314 However, a simple way at least to get started might be to include a question about falls or  
315 injuries on the 311 website when a person reports that a sidewalk is in need of repair. The  
316 person could be asked whether they are aware of anyone who has recently fallen and/or been  
317 injured at this broken sidewalk. If the answer is "yes", the person could be offered the  
318 opportunity to visit a DDOT webpage where they could report what is known about the  
319 incident. There may be other ways the District could design a system to learn of sidewalk falls  
320 and injuries, but by one method or another, the District should fill this important knowledge  
321 gap.

322

### 323 **Recommendation #5: DDOT work with ORM to make it more likely that residents** 324 **will get reimbursement for injuries and other costs due to falls on DC sidewalks.**

325

326 We earlier noted ORM's policy to deny public reimbursement for the costs of sidewalk falls  
327 when DC had no prior knowledge of the sidewalk hazard where the injury occurred. It is a cruel  
328 irony for DC to NOT monitor the condition of its sidewalks, and then when people are injured to  
329 deny claims because DC denied itself that prior knowledge about its own poorly-maintained  
330 sidewalks. Until DDOT has a successful monitoring program AND a successful rapid response to  
331 311 sidewalk service requests -- as evidenced by a reduction in reports of sidewalk hazards by at  
332 least 80% -- the DC government should consider relaxing the standard through which people  
333 who are injured on public sidewalks can get reimbursement for their injuries and related costs.

334

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

### 335 **Recommendation #6: Study methods to reduce the number of sidewalk** 336 **problems that need to be fixed and the costs to repair**

337

338 Public health problems, such as these falls and injuries from sidewalks, should have a  
339 prevention component. Often prevention is much cheaper than remedying the problem once it  
340 occurs, and in addition, the human cost of injury can be avoided. DDOT urban foresters plant  
341 trees, and then often within some years the tree roots disrupt sidewalks that DDOT maintains.  
342 It makes little sense for one part of the government to create a maintenance burden for another  
343 part of the same government to resolve if the problem can be avoided. We recommend that  
344 DDOT study methods to reduce the incidence of sidewalk problems, and cost to repair, such as  
345 the following.

346

347 - DDOT's Urban Forestry agency plants trees, and then often within some years the tree roots  
348 disrupt sidewalks that DDOT maintains. As DDOT improves and increases the tree canopy,  
349 perhaps the DC Urban Forestry agency could plant trees that have deeper roots which would  
350 not disrupt the sidewalks years later or use tree skirts that would keep roots from spreading  
351 under the sidewalk.

352

353 - Perhaps the soil under the sidewalks could be prepared by DDOT so the sidewalk would be less  
354 likely to be disrupted by roots.

355

356 - Perhaps new brick sidewalks could be comprised of stamped pavers or poured concrete with  
357 brick color throughout that appear to be brick, so the edges of the pavers or concrete slab could  
358 be ground, if necessary, without disrupting the visual flow of the sidewalk. Also stamped pavers  
359 could be used when rehabilitating a long stretch of brick sidewalk.

360

361 DDOT should seek best-practices used elsewhere to reduce the burden to maintain public  
362 sidewalks.

363

364 We thank DDOT staff for their time and effort in helping us to understand their current practices  
365 and plans for sidewalks in the future, which we have tried to take into consideration in these  
366 recommendations.

367

368 The following people contributed to this report.

369

- 369 • Judy Berman, Executive Director of CHV
- 370 • Kristen Degan, Director for Monitoring and Evaluation at Sharp Insight, LLC
- 371 • Chuck Elkins, ANC3D01 Commissioner
- 372 • Christine Healey, Director of CHV Advocacy Program and retired ANC Commissioner
- 373 • Tomeka Lee, former CHV Director of Membership and Outreach
- 374 • Dawn Nelson, CHV member
- 375 • Kirsten Oldenburg, CHV member and retired ANC Commissioner
- 376 • Scott Price, CHV member and retired ANC Commissioner
- 377 • Sahas Srinivasan, Business Analytics Intern, George Washington University

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

378  
379  
380  
381  
382

### Appendix A: 2022 DDOT Service Level Agreements from DDOT testimony during DC Council 2023 DDOT Performance Review

	Current SLA (in business days)	Closed Met SLA	Closed Missed SLA
Alley Repair Investigation	270	38%	62%
Bicycle Services	60	61%	39%
Bus/Rail Issues	60	64%	36%
Dockless Vehicle Complaint*	2	----	----
Parking Meter Repair	5	67%	33%
Pothole	3	84%	16%
Resident Parking Permit	60	98%	2%
Roadway Repair	270	43%	57%
Roadway Signs	130	57%	43%
Roadway Striping / Markings	270	73%	27%
Sidewalk Repair	270	53%	47%
Streetlight Repair Investigation	7	90%	10%
Traffic Safety Input	130	90%	10%
Traffic Signal Issue	2	78%	22%
Tree Inspection	5	99%	1%
Tree Planting	500	96%	4%
Tree Pruning	180	86%	14%
Tree Removal	180	93%	7%
Utility Repair Issue	60	80%	20%

DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427

Appendix B: DDOT confirmation of a 311 sidewalk service request

DC311 311info@dc.gov via tt97v2kp3973v8c5.3v... Dec 28, 2023, 10:33 AM (4 days ago) ☆ 😊  
to me ▾



**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Office of Unified Communications**

---

Dear Resident,

Thank you for contacting 311!

Your request for Sidewalk Repair has been received by our agents and will be forwarded to the DDOT for completion. You may receive occasional updates on the status of this request as the agency works to complete your request.

Your request is expected to be completed on or about 1/10/2025 (SLA: 270 Business Days). We will notify you when this request has been closed.

## DC Residents' Report to Improve Sidewalk Safety

428  
 429 **Appendix C: Demonstration of how lengthy expectations for sidewalk service requests are**  
 430 **built into DC automation.**

431  
 432 In the following chart,  
 433 - Column B is the date a 311 service request was submitted – AddDate;  
 434 - Column G is the last expected date of completion – ServiceDueDate;  
 435 - Column F is the date the request was resolved – ResolutionDate.

436  
 437 For the **sidewalk** service request on row 21015 (yellow arrow), the ServiceDueDate (green  
 438 circle) is **more than one year** after the AddDate (red circle).

439  
 440 However, for the pothole service request on row 18255 (purple arrow), the ServiceDueDate is  
 441 only **4 days** after the AddDate.

442  
 443 The gap between AddDate and ServiceDueDate is the same for all the other service requests in  
 444 the database: **4 days for potholes, more than 1 year for sidewalks.**

445

	Request type	Date submitted	Date resolved	Date expected to be resolved
	B	E	F	G
1	SERVICECODE	ADDDATE	RESOLUTIONDATE	SERVICEDUEDATE
21015	Sidewalk Repair	2023/01/01 16:50:22+00		2024/01/31 16:50:22+00
21016	Sidewalk Repair	2023/01/02 15:54:35+00		2024/01/31 15:54:35+00
21017	Sidewalk Repair	2023/01/02 18:31:52+00	2023/04/18 21:33:34+00	2024/01/31 18:31:52+00
18255	Pothole	2023/01/01 13:06:22+00	2023/01/04 09:43:32+00	2023/01/05 13:06:22+00
18257	Pothole	2023/01/01 16:19:29+00	2023/01/03 16:48:48+00	2023/01/05 16:19:28+00
18258	Pothole	2023/01/01 16:34:25+00	2023/01/04 18:04:01+00	2023/01/05 16:34:25+00
18259	Pothole	2023/01/01 16:36:58+00	2023/01/04 09:43:36+00	2023/01/05 16:36:58+00

446

447

448